Seismic evidence for non-synchronization in two close sdB+dM binaries from *Kepler* photometry

Herbert Pablo[1], Steven D. Kawaler[1], M. D. Reed[2], S. Bloemen[3], S. Charpinet[8], H. Hu[4], J. Telting[9], R. H. Østensen[3], A. S. Baran[1,2], E. M. Green[7], J. J. Hermes[10], T. Barclay[11], S. J. O'Toole[12], Fergal Mullally[13], D. W. Kurtz[14], J. Christensen-Dalsgaard[15], Douglas A. Caldwell[13], Jessie L. Christiansen[13],

and

K. Kinemuchi[16]

ABSTRACT

We report on extended photometry of two pulsating sdB stars in close binaries. In both cases, we show that the sdB component rotates much too slowly to be in synchronous rotation. We use the theory of tidal interaction in binary stars to place upper limits on the mass ratios that are independent of estimates based

[1]Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011 USA

[2]Department of Physics, Astronomy and Materials Science, Missouri State University, 901 S. National, Springfield, MO 65897 USA

[3]Instituut voor Sterrenkunde, K.U. Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200D, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

[4]Institute of Astronomy, The Observatories, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0HA

[7]Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

[8]Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Toulouse-Tarbes, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, 14 Av. E. Belin, 31400 Toulouse, France

[9]Nordic Optical Telescope, 38700 Santa Cruze de La Palma, Spain

[10]Department of Astronomy, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA

[11]Bay Area Environmental Research Institute/NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035, USA

[12]Anglo-Australian Observatory, P.O. Box 296, Epping, NSW 1710, Australia

[13]SETI Institute/NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035

[14]Jeremiah Horrocks Institute, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, PR1 2HE, United Kingdom

[15]Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

[16]Bay Area Environmental Research Inst./NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035

on the radial velocity curves. The companions have masses below 0.26 $M_\odot$. The pulsation spectra show the signature of high–overtone g-mode pulsation. One star, KIC 11179657, has a clear sequence of g-modes with equal period spacings as well as several periodicities that depart from that trend. KIC 02991403 shows a similar sequence, but has many more modes that do not fit the simple pattern.

1. Introduction

Close binary systems are typically those in which the semi-major axis of the orbit is of comparable size to the radii of the stars themselves. In such systems, circularization of the orbit and synchronization of the components through tidal interactions is often assumed. The precise mechanisms of tidal coupling, and the resulting time scales, have been under debate for a number of years. Observational tests have been difficult to implement. While orbital period determination is usually straighforward (through radial velocity curves and/or photometry), measuring the rotation of the component stars is challenging. Determinations of rotation periods using starspots becomes difficult in binary systems and impossible when those systems are evolved. It is possible to measure rotational broadening of spectral lines, but that requires metal lines which are inherently weak and need high S/N; in binaries with compact components other broadening mechanisms swamp the rotational broadening signal for rotation rates of interest. When there are pulsations in one of the stars, asteroseismology can provide an alternative method for measuring rotation. The spinning of the star breaks degeneracy in the pulsation modes of non-radial pulsators causing single peaks to split into multiplets. This splitting can, through a simple relation, yield the rotation rate of the star (Ledoux 1951).

One class of nonradially pulsating stars that can be ideal for this type of analysis is the pulsating subdwarf B(sdB) stars. These stars, remnants of low mass stars that have undergone helium core ignition, lie along the extreme horizontal branch with temperatures 22000-40000 K and masses of $\approx 0.47 M_\odot$ (Saffer et al. 1994; Heber et al. 1984). Their surface gravities ($\log g$ ranging from approximately 5.0 to 6.0) are typically higher than main sequence stars with corresponding temperatures. The formation process for a star with these characteristics is difficult to identify; one proposed formation channel is through common envelope ejection (Han et al. 2002, 2003). This implies that a significant number of these objects are members of binary systems.

In addition, a selection of these stars pulsate nonradially. These pulsations fall into two distinct classes. The p-mode pulsators, also called V361 Hya stars, have periods that are generally in the 2-4 min range, with pulsation amplitudes ≈ 1 per cent of their mean

brightness (Kilkenny 2007; Reed et al. 2007). The g-mode pulsators, also known as V1093 Her stars, have pulsation periods between 45 min and 4 h and typical amplitudes less than 0.1 per cent of their mean brightness (Green et al. 2003; Østensen 2010; Reed et al. 2011).

Given their small pulsation amplitudes and multiperiodic nature, resolving the oscillation spectra of these g-mode pulsators is extremely challenging using ground–based facilities. In particular, the periods of the g-mode pulsators result in only a few cycles for a single night's observing. To use the pulsations to measure rotational effects requires identification of the azimuthal order of the modes, meaning that small frequency spacings between individual periodicities in the Fourier transform need to be measured unambiguously. Even with multi-site campaigns it is challenging to obtain a sufficient baseline for detailed study.

Recently, data on sdB pulsators provided by the *Kepler* mission have spurred rapid progress in our understanding of these stars. The broader science goals, mission design, and overall performance of *Kepler* are reviewed by Borucki et al. (2010) and Koch et al. (2010); Gillland et al. (2010b) review the asteroseismology component. The *Kepler* Asteroseismic Science Consortium (KASC) working group on compact pulsators has made significant strides toward our understanding of the non-radial g-mode pulsators as a group (Reed et al. 2010; Reed et al. 2011; Gillland et al. 2010b; Kawaler et al. 2010b), and have provided detailed seismic fits to two $g-$mode pulsators (Charpinet et al. 2010; van Grootel et al. 2010). Many of these multiperiodic pulsators show distinct sequences of modes that are equally spaced in period, as is expected for high–overtone $g-$modes (Reed et al. 2011).

Two of the KASC targets are g-mode pulsators in close reflection–effect binary systems with periods of approximately 0.4 d: KIC 02991403 and KIC 11179657. 30 d of *Kepler* data from the survey phase, hinted that neither system was in synchronous rotation, though the data durations were too short to fully resolve the pulsations (Kawaler et al. 2010b). In a separate examination of an sdB star in the old open cluster NGC 6791, (KIC 02438324, identified as "B4" by Kaluzny & Udalski 1992), Pablo et al. (2011) used 6 months of *Kepler* data to show that it too is in a close reflection–effect binary. B4 rotates with a period that is significantly longer than the orbital period of the system. The similarity between these three systems suggests that the binary properties are closely related to their origin.

In this paper we report on results from longer duration observations of KIC 02991403 and KIC 11179657 with *Kepler*. We identify several rotational triplets, demonstrating that the stars are not in synchronous rotation. These results are consistent with the results of B4 shown in (Pablo et al. 2011), and suggests that sdB stars in ≈ 0.5 d binary systems with low-mass M dwarf companions do not appear to be in synchronous rotation. KIC 11179657 shows a clear sequence of equally spaced periods as seen in many other g-mode sdB pulsators, including B4 (Pablo et al. 2011). KIC 02991403 also shows a sequence of modes that share

a constant spacing in period, but shows many more modes that do not follow this pattern.

2. Observations

All observations were obtained by the *Kepler* spacecraft between 2010 March and 2011 March. *Kepler* data are made available in 3 month spans and identified by quarter number. The observations we are analyzing are from quarters Q5-Q8. The data were obtained in short cadence (SC) mode (Gilliland et al. 2010a) which has a cadence of 58.85 s. Data were processed through the standard pipeline (Jenkins et al. 2010). All data products received through KASC have undergone Pre-search Data Conditioning. However, since this conditioning is optimized for exoplanet science, we used the simple aperture photometry flux. These fluxes are converted to fractional variations from the mean (i.e. differential intensity $\delta I/I$). The data show (mostly) subtle baseline changes from month to month, and so we treated each month of data individually, resulting in a light curve that is continuous across each quarter. We further edited the data by removing outliers; we clipped individual data points that departed from the (local) mean by 4σ. This resulted in a reduction of 1847 points (out of 486336) in KIC 02991403 and 947 points (out of 392532) in KIC 11179657.

Under normal operating circumstances, data received from *Kepler* is continuous with the exception of small gaps, the largest of which is due to the quarterly roll of the spacecraft. For this reason *Kepler* has a duty cycle of over 90 per cent. There are a small number of instrumental artifacts in the data commensurate with the long cadence (LC) readout rate (1/30 × SC) producing peaks at multiples of 566.44 μHz, with the highest amplitude peaks generally lying in the 4000-7000 μHz region (Gilliland et al. 2010a). These artifacts are at a significantly higher frequency than the physical periodicities in these stars, and so do not impact our analysis.

We obtained 12 months of data (Q5-Q8) on KIC 02991403, resulting in a (formal) frequency resolution (1/T) of 0.032 μHz. The actual frequency precision achieved through least-squares fitting of sinusoids to the data (Montgomery & O'Donoghue 1999) is significantly higher, but the higher precision is only realized if the individual periodicities are isolated from any other signal. Kawaler et al. (2010b) identified 16 independent oscillation frequencies using 1 month of data from Q1. This star is a reflection effect binary with an orbital period of 10.633762 ± 0.000015 h. No significant signal is seen at the subharmonic of this periodicity, and the first harmonic is present at the 13% level. The phase of this harmonic peak is such that the maxima of the harmonic are at the extrema of the main peak, as is expected for a reflection-effect binary. Preliminary radial velocity measurements on the sdB star have an of amplitude 36 ± 2 km s^{-1} (Telting et al. 2011). This velocity

implies that the (unseen, except for the reflection effect) companion is lower in mass than the primary ($M < 0.47 M_\odot$) if the inclination angle of the system is less than 15°. The absence of an eclipse places an upper limit on the inclination of approximately 80°, and places a lower limit on the companion mass of ≈ 0.089 $M_\odot$. The sdB star has T_{eff} =27300 $\pm$ 200 K and $\log g = 5.43 \pm 0.03$ (Østensen et al 2010).

For the second target, KIC 11179657, we obtained 9 months of data (Q5-Q7); in Q8, KIC 11179657 fell on the beleaguered Module 3 in the *Kepler* focal plane which is no longer functional. The resulting formal frequency resolution is 0.042 μHz, though as noted above, isolated frequencies can be determined to much higher precision. This star is also a reflection effect binary with an orbital period of 9.466936 $\pm$ 0.000024 h. It shows 8 oscillation frequencies in the 30-d survey data from Q2. The binarity in this reflection–effect system has also been confirmed via a measured velocity amplitude for the sdB star of 21 $\pm$ 2 km s^{-1} (Telting et al. 2011). Assuming a non-zero inclination angle the companion in this system is again of low mass, with a minimum mass ≈ 0.047 $M_\odot$ if seen nearly edge–on; the companion exceeds the mass of the sdB if the inclination is less than approximately 8.5°. The sdB star is slightly cooler and lower–gravity than its counterpart, with T_{eff}= 26000 $\pm$ 800 K, and $\log g = 5.14 \pm 0.13$(Østensen et al 2010).

3. Analysis

We determined the frequencies of pulsation (and the orbital modulation period from the reflection effect) through Fourier analysis combined with nonlinear least-squares fitting and prewhitening, as described in Kawaler et al. (2010a); Reed et al. (2010); and Kawaler et al. (2010b). This process identifies peaks in the Fourier transform and fits the amplitude, frequency and phase using a nonlinear least-squares procedure. A sine curve with the fit parameters is then subtracted from the light curve to remove the periodicity. This process is then iterated until all significant peaks have been identified, fitted, and removed. A peak is defined as significant if it is more than 4σ above the mean noise level. This was calculated by finding the mean value of the Fourier transform, outside of obvious peaks, in the g-mode region of each star.

Normal modes of oscillation in stars are characterized by the set of indices n, l and m. The radial order n provides a measure of the number of nodes in the radial direction for the given oscillation mode. The angular indices l and m correspond to the spherical harmonic $Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$. For nonradial oscillations, in the absence of processes that break azimuthal symmetry, the oscillation frequencies depend only on n and l; modes with different m values are degenerate in frequency. If the star is rotating (or has a sufficiently strong magnetic

field), this can lift the m degeneracy, revealing an equally spaced multiplet of $2l + 1$ peaks if all m components are present and if the rotation is sufficiently slow (or the magnetic field sufficiently weak). For solid-body rotation with a period of $P_{\rm rot}$, the frequency spacing is proportional to the rotation rate:

$$f_{n,l,m} = f_{n,l,0} + \frac{m}{P_{\rm rot}}(1 - C_{n,l}) \tag{1}$$

(Ledoux 1951) where $C_{n,l}$ is the Ledoux constant. $C_{n,l}$ is determined by the oscillation eigenfunctions. For models appropriate to KIC 02991403 and KIC 11179657 the range of $C_{n,l}$ is discussed in Kawaler et al. (2010b), with $C_{n,1}$ between 0.465 and 0.496 while $C_{n,2}$ is between 0.158 and 0.165. For these two targets, if the sdB components are in synchronous rotation, the rotational splitting should be approximately 13-15 μHz for $l = 1$ modes.

3.1. KIC 02991403

With a full year of data, the number of detected oscillation modes has increased significantly compared to what was found by Østensen et al (2010). All 16 modes previously identified are still present, and 18 additional periodicities lie above our significance threshold of 0.141 ppt (parts per thousand). The amplitude spectrum of the data is shown in Fig. 1, and the significant periodicities are listed in Table 1. In this table, frequencies identified in Kawaler et al. (2010b) are f1 through f16; the new periodicities, ordered by increasing frequency, are f18 through f34. The phase is given as the first time of maximum (for that periodicity) after T_0.

Fig. 1.— The g-mode region of KIC 02991403. The 4 σ significance threshold is represented by the dotted line.

Table 1.   Periodicities of KIC 02991403. Quoted errors are formal least-squares errors. Periodicities marked with an asterisk are slightly below the 4σ cutoff but included in the fit.

ID	Frequency [μHz]	Period [s]	Amplitude [ppt]	T_{max}[1] [s]	Orbital splitting	fine structure
	Binary period and first harmonic					
$1f_{orb}$	26.122249(36)	38281.542(51)	17.657(35)	15971.0(24)		
$2f_{orb}$	52.24451(28)	19140.8(10)	2.279(35)	16062.0(93)		
f17	99.5625(11)	10043.94(0.11)	0.562(35)	7122.0(200)		
f18	108.994(11)	9174.816(88)	0.601(35)	3352.0(170)		
f19*	114.8141(48)	8709.73(0.37)	0.131(35)	5486.0(740)		
f20	156.6102(41)	6385.28(0.17)	0.153(35)	1678.0(460)		
f1	157.17197(82)	6362.458(34)	0.763(35)	2306.0(92)		=f20+0.562
f21	157.6653(35)	6342.55(0.14)	0.195(36)	1549.0(380)		
f22	157.7319(25)	6339.87(0.11)	0.255(36)	5551.0(290)		=f1+0.560
f23	169.2203(32)	5909.46(0.11)	0.200(35)	5618.0(330)		
f24	170.8781(29)	5852.124(99)	0.219(35)	3699.0(300)	=f22+13.146	
f25*	186.2481(48)	5369.18(0.14)	0.128(35)	4935.0(460)		
f2	194.6251(15)	5138.083(39)	0.434(35)	2350.0(130)		
f3	195.1789(15)	5123.50(4)	0.417(35)	5055.0(140)		=f2+0.554
f26	195.7147(37)	5109.477(97)	0.171(35)	1161.0(330)	=f24+24.837	=f3+0.536
f27	217.5162(41)	4597.358(87)	0.154(35)	3131.0(330)		
f4	230.554(10)	4337.386(19)	0.626(35)	1671.0(77)	=f27+13.038	
f5	231.1063(15)	4327.014(29)	0.409(35)	2463.0(120)		=f4+0.552
f28	240.2369(14)	4162.558(23)	0.466(35)	2530.0(99)		
f29	261.2529(23)	3827.709(34)	0.273(35)	479.0(150)		
f6	264.43948(66)	3781.5836(95)	0.956(35)	376.0(44)		
f7	270.7766(18)	3693.081(25)	0.345(35)	868.0(120)		
f8	284.1718(12)	3518.998(14)	0.541(35)	481.0(72)		
f9	285.2697(93)	3505.455(1)	0.684(35)	1768.0(57)		=f8+1.098
f30	292.5943(29)	3417.702(34)	0.218(35)	3399.0(170)		
f31	295.409(36)	3385.137(42)	0.174(35)	2719.0(210)		
f10	296.316(2)	3374.78(23)	0.311(35)		3061.0(120)	
f11	308.1784(12)	3244.874(14)	0.484(35)	1164.0(74)		
f12	309.27964(79)	3233.3199(83)	0.800(35)	1470.0(45)		=f11+1.101
f13	334.2474(16)	2991.796(14)	0.402(35)	2987.0(82)		
f14	334.81955(59)	2986.6835(51)	1.078(35)	728.0(31)		=f14+0.572
f15	335.37212(87)	2981.7625(77)	0.729(35)	1943.0(45)		=f14+0.553
f32	346.7506(25)	2883.917(21)	0.241(35)	71.0(130)		
f33	347.995(33)	2873.605(26)	0.194(35)	227.0(160)	=f14+13.145	=f32+1.244
f34	368.4757(36)	2713.883(25)	0.178(35)	1188.0(170)		

As noted in Kawaler et al. (2010b), there is little evidence for frequency spacings between modes that match the expectations from synchronous rotation. However, with the improved frequency resolution and sensitivity available with 1 year of data, several equally-spaced triplets are now seen in the data, with spacing that is substantially smaller than the orbital frequency. One true triplet (f13, f14, f15) was identified by Kawaler et al. (2010b), but its spacing was near the run resolution. We now see several doublets and triplets with very similar spacings of $\approx$ 0.56 μHz; these are shown in Fig. 2. Of the 34 periodicities in Table 1, 16 are components of multiplets that show this spacing. The rotational period calculated using this frequency splitting assuming l=1 is $\approx$ 11 d. This is an order of magnitude longer than the orbital period, confirming that this system is not in synchronous rotation. This ratio is very similar to that seen in B4 (Pablo et al. 2011). The possibility exists that the modes that are part of these multiplets with a 0.56 μHz spacing are l=2 rather than l=1, even though no quintuplets are present. If that were the case, the rotation period would be longer. A rotation period of 11 d corresponds to a rotation velocity of approximately 1 km s^{-1}. This rotation is slower than what is generally seen in more ordinary (cooler) Horizontal Branch stars both in clusters and in the field (Behr 2003a,b). It is also consistent with spectroscopic measurements of many individual sdB pulsators (Heber 2000). Since this is significantly longer than the orbital period, it is clear that not much spin up has occurred in these systems.

In many $g-$mode sdB pulsators, the periods of the modes display period spacings that are integer multiples of a common period spacing (Reed et al. 2011), as expected from asymptotic theory for models without internal compositional discontinuities. To examine the possibility that KIC 02991403 shows this signature, we attempted to find a common period spacing. For l=1 modes in sdB stars, this spacing has been observationally determined to be remarkably uniform; most stars show a spacing near 250 s, which is consistent with the asymptotic l=1 spacing from theoretical models (Reed et al. 2011). Kawaler et al. (2010b) explored this question with one month of data and concluded that there might be a mix of l=1 and l=2 modes in KIC 02991403. With these new data, most of the periods corresponding to the centers of the triplets in Fig. 2 seem consistent with a spacing of 269.6

Table 1—Continued

| ID	Frequency [μHz]	Period [s]	Amplitude [ppt]	T_{max}[1] [s]	Orbital splitting	fine structure
f16	369.0067(18)	2709.978(12)	0.350(35)	1881.0(86)		

[1]T_0 is BJD 2455276.4793502

Fig. 2.— Amplitude spectra of several multiplets in the g-mode region of KIC 02991403. The frequencies of each peak can be found with corresponding labels in Table 1. There are three triplets with an average spacing of 0.56 μHz. Several doublets show twice this splitting. There is some ambiguity in placement of f4 and f5 in the third panel from the top; they could be shifted to lower frequencies by 0.56 μHz since we cannot uniquely assign m values.

Fig. 3.— This échelle diagram contains symbols for all periodicities identified in KIC 02991403. The points are plotted modulo a fixed period spacing; those that have periods that are integer multiples of this period spacing will be aligned vertically. Stars denote $m = 0$ modes (those at the center of triplets), and open circles are suspected $m = \pm 1$ modes. Filled circles are isolated periods. Larger symbols indicate higher amplitude peaks. A vertical column centered near 50-75 s contains most of the identified triplets. There are also several points that lie far away from this grouping, and may be indicative of higher l modes, or modes that are strongly affected by internal composition gradients. There is an artificial offset of 50 seconds imposed in the figure so the points do not fold across 0 s.

s. Folding all identified periodicities at that spacing leads to the echelle diagram shown in Fig. 3. A likely l=1 sequence seems to be present near 60 s in this figure; however, many peaks are not associated with this group. Theoretical models of high–overtone g–modes (see, for example Charpinet et al. 2010; van Grootel et al. 2010) show periods that approximate this behavior, though large departures (up to 100 s) are common. These departures from uniform period spacing are the consequence of internal composition transition zones, which lead to mode trapping and departure from the asymptotic relationship. In KIC 02991403, the spread of points in the echelle diagram (Fig. 3) may be because some of the periodicities are l=2 modes, or that the internal structure of this star contains features that cause mode trapping. Thus the problem remains as far as clearly identifying l for many of the modes of KIC 02991403.

3.2. KIC 11179657

Nine months of data *Kepler* photometry on KIC 11179657 brings the 4-σ noise threshold down to an amplitude of 0.146 ppt. At this level, combined with the frequency resolution gain, we can identify 18 periodicities in the light curve in addition to the 8 periodicities found by Østensen et al (2010); two that were close to the detection threshold in Kawaler et al. (2010b) are confirmed. Fig. 4 shows the amplitude spectrum of the new data over the range of frequencies where significant periodicities are found. Table 2 presents the frequency list; we use the same naming convention as used for KIC 02991403.

Kawaler et al. (2010b) failed to identify any equally-spaced triplets using the one-month survey data. With the extended data from Q5-Q7, we find that all but one of the previously detected frequencies ($f5$) is a member of a triplet or pairs with another frequency at twice the triplet splitting. These common frequency spacings are much smaller than the orbital frequency. The most common spacing is approximately 0.78 μHz; 12 periodicities are parts of multiplets with this splitting. The uniformity of this splitting is shown in Fig. 5 where we expand the Fourier transform around each multiplet. In two instances, the central peak has the largest amplitude, and we align the incomplete multiplets assuming the large amplitude component is the central one. The labeled peaks are all above or near our significance threshold as indicated by the number corresponding to the entry in Table 2. These modes are split by the same spacing of 0.78 μHz.

Four other periodicities (f17, f18, f19, and f20) show a spacing of $\approx$ 1.29 μHz. The ratio between the 0.78 μHz and 1.29μHz splitting is 1.65, consistent with that expected for l=1 modes (0.78 μHz) and l=2 modes (1.29 μHz). Thus the four frequencies appear to be part of an l=2 quintuplet which is missing one peak. Calculation of rotational period from these

Fig. 4.— The g−mode region of KIC 11179657. The 4 σ level above the noise is represented by the dotted line.

Table 2. Periodicites of KIC 11179657. Quoted errors are formal least-squares errors. Periodicities marked with an asterisk are slightly below the 4σ cutoff but included in the fit.

ID	Frequency [μHz]	Period [s]	Amplitude [ppt]	T_{max}[1] [s]	Orbital splitting	fine structure
		Binary period and first harmonic				
$1\mathrm{f_{orb}}$	29.341887(74)	34080.971(87)	9.36(3)	19462.0(35.0)		
$2\mathrm{f_{orb}}$	58.6836(11)	17040.53(0.31)	0.66(3)	2582.0(250.0)		
f9	119.6149(19)	8360.16(0.13)	0.36(0.03)	1152.0(220)		
f10	122.5558(24)	8159.55(0.16)	0.29(0.03)	838.0(270)		
f11	146.2958(24)	6835.47(0.11)	0.29(0.03)	560.0(220)		
f12	185.7243(35)	5384.3(0.10)	0.20(0.03)	5382.0(260)		
f1	186.4778(39)	5362.569(1)	1.77(0.03)	5157.0(29)		=f12+0.753
f13	194.925(2)	5130.169(51)	0.35(0.03)	5002.0(140)		
f2	195.72284(43)	5109.266(1)	1.63(0.03)	3465.0(30)		=f13+0.798
f14	206.5921(33)	4840.455(78)	0.21(0.03)	139.0(220)		
f15	218.2891(41)	4581.081(87)	0.17(0.03)	2368.0(260)		
f16	231.8228(23)	4313.64(0.43)	0.30(0.03)	1005.0(140)		
f17	260.39(3)	3840.391(45)	0.23(0.03)	2825.0(160)		
f18	261.6353(32)	3822.114(47)	0.22(0.03)	185.0(170)	=f16+29.8125	=f17+1.245
f19	262.873(4)	3804.117(58)	0.17(0.03)	2051.0(210)		=f18+1.238
f20	265.5517(33)	3765.746(46)	0.21(0.03)	861.0(170)		f19+2.679
f21	269.278(3)	3713.634(42)	0.23(0.03)	2098.0(160)		
f22	283.83(17)	3523.235(21)	0.42(0.03)	2681.0(81)		
f3	284.62843(78)	3513.3525(96)	0.90(0.03)	3283.0(37)		=f22+0.798
f4	285.4093(16)	3503.74(0.02)	0.44(0.03)	591.0(77)		=f3+0.781
f5	295.5828(25)	3383.15(0.03)	0.27(0.03)	890.0(120)		
f23	307.8559(25)	3248.273(28)	0.27(0.03)	1018.0(120)		
f6	308.6558(14)	3239.855(14)	0.52(0.03)	1356.0(60)		=f23+0.800
f24*	309.4427(48)	3231.62(0.05)	0.14(0.03)	71.0(210)		=f6+0.787
f7	337.17368(46)	2965.8306(41)	1.48(0.03)	1963.0(19)		=f7+0.777
f25	337.9506(38)	2959.013(33)	0.19(0.03)	470.0(150)	=f6+29.643	
f8	338.2984(12)	2955.97(0.10)	0.60(0.03)	698.0(47)		=f7+1.125
f26	369.0297(19)	2709.809(14)	0.36(0.03)	2115.0(73)		

[1]T_0 is BJD 2455276.4801154

spacings is consistent with ≈ 7.4 d which, as in KIC 02991403 and B4, is much longer than the orbital period. We note that the frequency spacing between f7 and f8 is close to, but significantly smaller than, the l=2 spacing.

Unlike KIC 02991403, most of the periodicities of KIC 11179657 have a consistent period spacing. The period spacing found in this star is 265.3 s and appears to be fairly uniform. In Fig. 6 we see a well defined column of periodicities that are all consistent with being l=1 modes. A few of the periodicities are offset from the ridge by about 140 seconds, which may indicate modes that are affected by mode trapping by internal composition transition zones (see above). Note that in Fig. 6 the suspected l=2 multiplet is also offset from the l=1 ridge.

4. Synchronization

Synchronization has not been reached in either KIC 02991403 or KIC 11179657, meaning that the synchronization time scale must be significantly longer than the time that these systems have been in their current configuration. The time scale for life as a helium core burning sdB star is 10^8 years. Without more precise models of these stars (constrained by seismology) it is difficult to know their ages, but we can estimate the synchronization times using two prescriptions.

The model of Tassoul & Tassoul (1997) produces a synchronization time that is extremely short for sdB+dM binaries with these periods (as discussed in Pablo et al. (2011)). On the other hand, synchronization through coupling via dynamical tides, as proposed by (Zahn 1975), produces an approximate parameterized synchronization time scale (Claret & Cunha 1997; Pablo et al. 2011).

$$\tau_{\mathrm{syn}} = 3.43 \times 10^6 \mathrm{yr} \left(\frac{\beta}{0.13} \right)^2 \left(\frac{1+q}{q} \right)^2 \left(\frac{M}{M_\odot} \right)^{\frac{7}{3}} \left(\frac{R}{R_\odot} \right)^{-7} \left(\frac{P}{\mathrm{day}} \right)^{\frac{17}{3}} \left(\frac{E_2}{10^{-8}} \right)^{-1} \quad (2)$$

where β is "the radius of gyration" (the moment of inertia divided by MR^2), q is the mass ratio, and E_2 is the tidal constant, which is highly dependent on stellar structure. E_2 is difficult to calculate directly, but scales as $(r_c/R)^8$ where (r_c/R) is the fractional radius of the convective core (Zahn 1977). We find that for the stars in question $E_2 = (r_c/R)^8$ to first approximation. While this is not strictly true, the error introduced is small compared with our order of magnitude calculations. As the sdB star evolves, (r_c/R), R, and (to a lesser extent) β can change, causing τ_{syn} will vary.

This approach to synchronization can be integrated using the computed τ_{syn} as a function

Fig. 5.— Rotationally split multiplets in KIC 11179657 associated with the suspected $l=1$ spacing ≈ 0.784 μHz. The frequencies of each peak can be found with corresponding labels in Table 2. There are two triplets (middle and second to bottom) with an average spacing of 0.793 μHz, though we note that f24 is slightly below our formal detection threshold. There are several doublets which show this splitting. In the bottom panel centered on f7, f25 is spaced at the $l=1$ rotational splitting distance. The f8 peak is separated by 1.12μHz from f7, which is smaller than the expected $l=2$ splitting.

Fig. 6.— Échelle diagram of the periodicities $f1$-$f26$ of KIC 11179657 with a folding period is 265.3 s. Filled circles are undetermined peaks, stars are l=1, m=0 modes, open circles l=1 $m = \pm 1$ modes, and open squares are suspected members of an $l = 2$ multiplet. The symbol size is proportional to peak amplitude. There is a clear grouping around 70 s which is most likely associated with l=1. The members of the l=2 multiplet are offset from the l=1 ridge, though the highest frequency member (and the missing adjacent mode) do overlap with the l=1 ridge. This overlap is not unexpected as they can have different values of n.

of time via

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{syn}}} = \frac{1}{\Omega - \omega} \frac{d\omega}{dt} \tag{3}$$

where Ω is the orbital angular velocity and ω is the star's angular rotation velocity (note that definition of Ω differs from its earlier use in this paper). Using the value of τ_{syn} as a function of age allows us to calculate $\omega(t)$. When $\omega \approx \Omega$ this system is synchronized. We can also compute the synchronization time as a function of the mass ratio q for comparison with the data – smaller mass ratios imply longer synchronization times. With a typical mass for the sdB star of $\approx 0.47\ M_{\odot}$, and values for R and (r_c/R) from representative sequences of evolutionary models, the fact that these stars are not yet synchronized (at an upper limit to the age of 10^8 years) limits the mass of the companion to $M_c < 0.22 M_{\odot}$. If we assume that that the actual age of the system is half the mean lifetime (5×10^7 y) then $M_c < 0.26 M_{\odot}$. For companion masses of $M_c < 0.14 M_{\odot}$ it seems unlikely that synchronization will ever be reached.

5.   Discussion

KIC 02991403 and KIC 11179657 are two very similar sdB g-mode pulsators in binary systems. Both systems have several triplet spacings, which imply rotation periods of 10.3 d and 7.4 d, respectively. Since the orbital period in both systems is ≈ 0.4 d both systems are in non-synchronous rotation. These properties are shared with the sdB binary in NGC 6791 investigated by Pablo et al. (2011). These results can place tight constraints on theoretical models for tidal synchronization. For example, the Tassoul & Tassoul (1997) mechanism would synchronize these stars in a very short time.

On the other hand, if the analysis following Zahn (1977) and Pablo et al. (2011) in the previous section is accurate, then we can use the *lack* of synchronization to place an lower limit on the mass ratio and therefore on the mass of the secondary star. This mass limit, along with the radial velocity amplitude, in turn provides a lower limit on the orbital inclination. For KIC 02991403 this turns out to be $\approx 23°$ and for KIC 11179657 $\approx 13°$. Using the fact that there are no eclipses we can set a maximum inclination that is $\approx 80°$ for both stars. While the estimates are rough the synchronization and spectroscopy yield consistent results.

Intriguingly, despite their similar parameters, the period distributions in these stars are quite different. The periods are well-behaved, with a clear period spacing, $l=1$ multiplets and, in the case of KIC 11179657, an $l=2$ multiplet. While KIC 2991403 does show an $l = 1$ sequence, there is no clear evidence of $l=2$ multiplets despite having a longer baseline and

more pulsation modes than KIC 11179657. Compared to KIC 11179657, KIC 02991403 shows a large number of periodicities that are clearly separated from the $l=1$ ridge, suggesting that it has several $l=2$ modes that are not parts of multiplets and/or it has a significant number of modes that are influenced by internal composition transition zones.

We expect to obtain at least two years of data on these targets, and perhaps much more, over the course of the *Kepler* mission. With more data, lower-amplitude modes may be revealed that could complete additional multiplets. Given the current observed modes, however, seismic modeling may be able to reveal subsurface composition transitions that would explain the g-mode period distribution.

REFERENCES

Behr, Bradford B., 2003, 149, 101

Behr, Bradford B., 2003, 149, 67

Borucki, William J., Koch, David, Basri, Gibor et al., 2010, Sci, 327, 977

Charpinet, S., van Grootel, V., Fotaine, G., Green, E.M., Brassard, P., Randall, S. K., Silvotti, R., Østensen, R. H., Kjeldsen, H., Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Kawaler, S.D., Clarke, B.D., Li, J., Wohler, B., 2011, A&A, 530, 3C

Claret, A., Cunha, N.C.S., 1995, A&A, 299, 724

Gilliland, R.L., Jenkins, J.M., Borucki, W.J. et al., 2010, ApJL, 713, L160

Gilliland, R.L, Brown, Timothy M., Christensen-Dalsgaard J. et al., 2010, PASP, 122, 131

Green, E.M., Fontaine, G., Reed, M.D., Callerame, K., Seitenzahl, I.R., White, B.A., Hyde, E.A., Østensen, R., Cordes, O., Brassard, P., Falter, S., Jeffery, E. J., Dreizler, S., Schuh, S. L., Giovanni, M., Edelmann, H., Rigby, J., & Bronowska, A., 2003, ApJL, 583, L31

Han, Z., Podsiadlowski, P., Maxted, P. F. L., Marsh, T. R., & Ivanova, N. 2002, MNRAS, 336, 449

Han, Z., Podsiadlowski, P., Maxted, P. F. L., & Marsh, T. R. 2003, MNRAS, 341, 669

Heber, U. 2000, A&A, 363, 198

Heber, U., Hunger, K., Jonas, G., & Kudritzki, R. P. 1984, A&A, 130, 119

Jenkins, J.M., Caldwell, D.A., Chandrasekaran, H. et al., 2010, ApJL, 713, L87

Kaluzny, J. & Udalski, A. 1992, Acta Astron., 42, 29

Kawaler, S.D., Reed, M.D., Quint, A.C., Ostenson, R.H., Silvotti, R., Baran, A.S., Charpinet, S., Bloemen, S., Kurtz, D.W., Telting, J., Handler, G., Kjeldsen, H., Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Borucki, W.J., Koch, D.G. 2010a, MNRAS, 409, 1487

Kawaler, S.D., Reed, M.D., Quint, A.C., Silvotti, R., Baran, A.S., Green, E.M., Charpinet, S. et al. 2010b, MNRAS, 409, 1509

Kilkenny, D. 2007, CoAst, 150, 234

Koch, David G., Borucki, William J., Basri, Gibor, et al., 2010, ApJL, 713, 179

Ledoux P., 1951, ApJ, 114, 373

Montgomery, M.H. & O'Donoghue, D.O. 1999, Delta Scuti Newsletter, 13, 28

Østensen, R.H., 2010, AN, 331, 1026

Østensen, R.H., Silvotti R., Charpinet S., Oreiro, R., Handler, G., Green E.M., Bloemen, S., Heber, U., Gansicke, B.T., Marsh, T.R., Kurtz, D.W., Telting J.H., Reed, M.D., Kawaler S.D., Aerts, C., Rodriguez-Lopez, C., Vuckovic, M., Ottosen, T.A., Liimets, T., Quint, A.C., van Grootel, V., Randall, S.K., Gilliland, R.L., Kjeldsen, H., Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Borucki, W.J., Koch, D., Quintana, E.V. 2010, MNRAS, 409, 1470

Pablo, H., Kawaler S.D., Green, E.M., 2011, ApJ, 740, L47

Saffer, R. A., Bergeron, P., Koester, D., & Liebert, J. 1994, ApJ, 432, 351

Reed, M. D., Terndrup, D. M., Zhou, A.-Y., Unterborn, C. T., An, D., & Eggen, J. R. 2007, MNRAS, 378, 1049

Reed, M.D., Kawaler, S.D., Østensen, R.H., Bloemen, S., Baran, A., Telting, J.H., Silvotti, R., Charpinet, S., Quint, A.C., Handler, G., Gililland, R.L., Borucki, W.J., Koch, D.G.,Kjeldsen, H., Christensen-Dalsgaard, J. 2010, 409, 1496

Reed, M.D., Baran, A.S., Quint, A.C. et al. 2011, MNRAS, 852

Tassoul, M. & Tassoul, J.-L., 1997, ApJ, 481, 363

Telting, J., Østensen, R.H., Oreiro, R., Reed M., Farris L., O'Toole S., & Aerts C., 2011, Fifth meeting on hot subdwarf stars and related objects, Astronomical Society of the Pacific, in press

Van Grootel, V, Charpinet S., Brassard, P., Green, E.M., Randall, S.K., Silvotti, R., Østensen, R. H., Kjeldsen, H., Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Borucki, W. J., Koch, D., 2010, ApJL, 718, L97

Zahn, J.-P. 1975, A&A, 41, 329

Zahn, J.-P. 1977, A&A, 57, 383